EPRI

Interlaboratory Validation of EPA 1600 Series Methods

Draft EPA Method 1638 for Analysis of Metals in Water by Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)

Technical Report

Interlaboratory Validation of EPA 1600 Series Methods

Draft EPA Method 1638 for Analysis of Metals in Water by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)

1000824

Final Report, November 2000

EPRI Project Manager
N. Goodman

EPRI • 3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304 • PO Box 10412, Palo Alto, California 94303 • USA
800.313.3774 • 650.855.2121 • askepri@epri.com • www.epri.com

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT

TRW, Inc.

ORDERING INFORMATION

Requests for copies of this report should be directed to the EPRI Distribution Center, 207 Coggins Drive, P.O. Box 23205, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523, (800) 313-3774.

Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. EPRI. ELECTRIFY THE WORLD is a service mark of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Copyright © 2000 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

CITATIONS

This report was prepared by

TRW Inc.
Space & Technology Division
Redondo Beach, California 90278

Principal Investigator
N.T. Whiddon

Author
N.T. Whiddon

This report describes research sponsored by EPRI.

This report is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

Interlaboratory Validation of EPA 1600 Series Methods: Draft EPA Method 1638 for Analysis of Metals in Water by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry, EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2000. Report 1000824.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report represents the combined efforts of many individuals. The author wishes to thank Naomi Goodman, the EPRI Project Manager, Dr. Raymond F. Maddalone of TRW and consultant James K. Rice for their valuable suggestions, comments and continuing guidance throughout the project. Their efforts have measurably improved the contents of this report.

Thanks also go to William A. Telliard and Maria Gomez-Taylor of the EPA Office of Water, who designed and managed EPA's part of the study; Michelle Gauthier and Amara Vandervort of Frontier Geosciences, Inc. for preparation and distribution of the samples and Judy Schofield of DynCorp for providing study data and related information.

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 Background and Objectives of the EPA/EPRI Method 1638 Validation Study 1-1

1.2 Overview of the Study Design 1-2

1.3 Objectives Of This Report 1-2

1.4 Use Of This Report 1-3

1.5 Organization of this Report 1-3

2 STUDY DESIGN 2-1

2.1 Phase 1 – Laboratory Qualification and Selection 2-1

2.2 Phase 2 – Sample Collection and Preparation 2-12

2.2.1 Sample Collection and Preparation 2-12

2.2.2 Test Matrix Characterization 2-13

2.2.3 Verification of Initial Sample Concentration and Stability 2-14

2.3 Phase 3 – Sample Analysis 2-19

2.4 References 2-20

3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PROGRAM 3-1

3.1 STATCALC Collaborative Statistical Analysis Program 3-1

3.1.1 Notation for STATCALC 3-4

3.1.2 Data Notation 3-5

3.2 Data Validation 3-6

3.2.1 "Zero" Data 3-6

3.2.2 Censored or "Less Than" Data 3-6

3.2.3 "Negative" Data 3-6

3.3 "Factor of 5" Data Screening 3-7

3.3.1 The Screening Procedure 3-7

3.4 Lab Ranking 3-8

3.4.1 Rationale for the Test 3-8

3.4.2 Discussion of the Test 3-8
3.4.3 Justification for Use of the Test 3-9
3.5 Testing for Outliers 3-9
3.5.1 Rationale for the Test 3-10
3.5.2 Discussion of the Test 3-10
3.5.3 Justification for the Procedure 3-11
3.6 Testing for Normality 3-11
3.6.1 The Shapiro-Wilk (W) Test (Sample Size <= 50) 3-12
3.6.2 D'Agostino's (D) Test (Sample Size > 50) 3-13
3.7 Calculation of Analytical Method Performance Statistics 3-15
3.7.1 Calculation of Method Mean Recovery and Bias 3-16
3.7.2 Calculation of Method Precision Statistics (Standard Deviations) 3-17
3.8 Testing for Significant Bias 3-27
3.8.1 General 3-27
3.8.2 Rationale for the Procedure 3-27
3.8.3 Outline of the Calculations 3-27
3.9 Use of STATCALC Output 3-28
3.10 References 3-28

4 DATA ANALYSIS 4-1
4.1 Data Reduction 4-1
4.1.1 Raw Data 4-1
4.1.2 Outlier Removal Results 4-1
4.1.3 Normality Testing 4-2
4.2 Data Analysis 4-2
4.2.1 Reagent Grade Water and Freshwater 4-2
4.2.1.1 Antimony 4-15
4.2.1.2 Cadmium 4-19
4.2.1.3 Copper 4-23
4.2.1.4 Lead 4-27
4.2.1.5 Nickel 4-31
4.2.1.6 Selenium 4-35
4.2.1.7 Silver 4-39
4.2.1.8 Thallium 4-43
4.2.1.9 Zinc 4-47

4.2.2 Effluent Matrices 4-51
4.2.3 Standard Reference Material 4-56
4.3 References 4-58

5 ESTIMATES OF DETECTION AND QUANTIFICATION 5-1
5.1 Currie Definitions of Detection and Quantification 5-2
5.2 EPA Definitions of Detection and Quantification 5-4
5.3 Modeling Standard Deviation Data 5-5
5.4 Alternative Minimum Level 5-6
5.5 ASTM Interlaboratory Detection and Quantitation Estimates 5-7
5.5.1 Interlaboratory Detection Estimate (IDE) 5-7
5.5.2 Interlaboratory Quantitation Estimate (IQE) 5-7
5.5.3 Computation of the IDE and IQE 5-8
5.6 References 5-8

6 RESULTS OF DATA ANALYSIS 6-1
6.1 Data Evaluation 6-1

7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUISONS 7-1

A NOMENCLATURE A-1

B RAW DATA B-1

C STATCALC INPUT/OUTPUT C-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1 STATCALC data screening/lab ranking 3-2
Figure 3-2 STATCALC outlier testing 3-2
Figure 3-3 STATCALC statistical processing 3-3
Figure 4-1 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-16
Figure 4-2 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-16
Figure 4-3 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-17
Figure 4-4 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-17
Figure 4-5 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-18
Figure 4-6 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for antimony in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-18
Figure 4-7 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-20
Figure 4-8 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-20
Figure 4-9 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water and freshwater data omitted) 4-21
Figure 4-10 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water and freshwater data omitted) 4-21
Figure 4-11 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-22
Figure 4-12 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for cadmium in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-22
Figure 4-13 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-24
Figure 4-14 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-24
Figure 4-15 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-25

Figure 4-16 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-25
Figure 4-17 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-26
Figure 4-18 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for copper in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-26
Figure 4-19 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-28
Figure 4-20 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-28
Figure 4-21 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-29
Figure 4-22 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-29
Figure 4-23 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-30
Figure 4-24 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for lead in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-30
Figure 4-25 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-32
Figure 4-26 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-32
Figure 4-27 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-33
Figure 4-28 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-33
Figure 4-29 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-34
Figure 4-30 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for nickel in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-34
Figure 4-31 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-36
Figure 4-32 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-36
Figure 4-33 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-37
Figure 4-34 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-37
Figure 4-35 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-38

Figure 4-36 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for selenium in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-38
Figure 4-37 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-40
Figure 4-38 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-40
Figure 4-39 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-41
Figure 4-40 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-41
Figure 4-41 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-42
Figure 4-42 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for silver in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-42
Figure 4-43 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-44
Figure 4-44 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-44
Figure 4-45 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water data omitted) 4-45
Figure 4-46 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater (unspiked reagent grade water and freshwater data omitted) 4-45
Figure 4-47 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-46
Figure 4-48 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for thallium in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-46
Figure 4-49 Plot of single operator standard deviation versus true concentration for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-48
Figure 4-50 Plot of overall standard deviation versus true concentration for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater 4-48
Figure 4-51 Plot of single operator relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-49
Figure 4-52 Plot of overall relative standard deviation versus mean recovery for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater (all data) 4-49
Figure 4-53 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater (all concentration levels) 4-50
Figure 4-54 Plot of mean result versus true concentration for zinc in reagent grade water and freshwater (highest concentration level omitted) 4-50
Figure 5-1 Relationship between Currie's L_C and L_D 5-2
Figure 5-2 Curvilinear relationship of interlaboratory standard deviation versus true concentration; EPRI GFAAS data for RGW, RW and APO 5-6
Figure 6-1 Comparison of Antimony IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-5

Figure 6-2 Comparison of Cadmium IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-5
Figure 6-3 Comparison of Copper IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-6
Figure 6-4 Comparison of Lead IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-7
Figure 6-5 Comparison of Nickel IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-7
Figure 6-6 Comparison of Selenium IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-8
Figure 6-7 Comparison of Thallium IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML..... 6-8
Figure 6-8 Comparison of Zinc IDE and IQE to EPA WQC, MDL and ML 6-9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Laboratories Participating in the Method 1638 Validation Study 2-1
Table 2-2 Summary of MDL Data 2-2
Table 2-3 Antimony Method Detection Limits 2-3
Table 2-4 Cadmium Method Detection Limits 2-4
Table 2-5 Copper Method Detection Limits 2-5
Table 2-6 Lead Method Detection Limits 2-6
Table 2-7 Nickel Method Detection Limits 2-7
Table 2-8 Selenium Method Detection Limits 2-8
Table 2-9 Silver Method Detection Limits 2-9
Table 2-10 Thallium Method Detection Limits 2-10
Table 2-11 Zinc Method Detection Limits 2-11
Table 2-12 Background Constituents of Lake Washington Water 2-14
Table 2-13 Verification and Stability Data for Reagent Grade Water 2-15
Table 2-14 Verification and Stability Data for Freshwater 2-17
Table 2-15 Verification and Stability Data for Filtered Effluent (FEF) 2-19
Table 2-16 Verification and Stability Data for Unfiltered Effluent (UEF) 2-19
Table 4-1 Data Points Remaining after Outlier Removal 4-3
Table 4-2 Test of Data Normality after Outlier Removal 4-4
Table 4-3 Statistical Summary for Antimony in Reagent Grade Water 4-5
Table 4-4 Statistical Summary for Antimony in Freshwater 4-5
Table 4-5 Statistical Summary for Cadmium in Reagent Grade Water 4-6
Table 4-6 Statistical Summary for Cadmium in Freshwater 4-6
Table 4-7 Statistical Summary for Copper in Reagent Grade Water 4-7
Table 4-8 Statistical Summary for Copper in Freshwater 4-7
Table 4-9 Statistical Summary for Lead in Reagent Grade Water 4-8
Table 4-10 Statistical Summary for Lead in Freshwater 4-8
Table 4-11 Statistical Summary for Nickel in Reagent Grade Water 4-9
Table 4-12 Statistical Summary for Nickel in Freshwater 4-9
Table 4-13 Statistical Summary for Selenium in Reagent Grade Water 4-10
Table 4-14 Statistical Summary for Selenium in Freshwater 4-10
Table 4-15 Statistical Summary for Silver in Reagent Grade Water 4-11
Table 4-16 Statistical Summary for Silver in Freshwater 4-11

Table 4-17 Statistical Summary for Thallium in Reagent Grade Water 4-12
Table 4-18 Statistical Summary for Thallium in Freshwater 4-12
Table 4-19 Statistical Summary for Zinc in Reagent Grade Water 4-13
Table 4-20 Statistical Summary for of Zinc in Freshwater 4-13
Table 4-21 Statistical Summary for Antimony in Effluent Matrices 4-51
Table 4-22 Statistical Summary for Cadmium in Effluent Matrices 4-52
Table 4-23 Statistical Summary for Copper in Effluent Matrices 4-52
Table 4-24 Statistical Summary for Lead in Effluent Matrices 4-53
Table 4-25 Statistical Summary for Nickel in Effluent Matrices 4-53
Table 4-26 Statistical Summary for Selenium in Effluent Matrices 4-54
Table 4-27 Statistical Summary for Silver in Effluent Matrices 4-54
Table 4-28 Statistical Summary for Thallium in Effluent Matrices 4-55
Table 4-29 Statistical Summary for Zinc in Effluent Matrices 4-55
Table 4-30 Certified Concentrations for Trace Elements in NIST SRM 1643d 4-56
Table 4-31 Summary of All Reported SRM Data Compared to Study Samples 4-57
Table 4-32 Summary of EPA-Retained SRM Data Compared to Study Samples 4-57
Table 5-1 Summary of Currie's Scheme for Detection and Quantification Levels 5-3
Table 6-1 Regression Coefficients for Precision and Recovery, Method 1638 Validation Study 6-2
Table 6-2 Summary of Results Computed from the Method 1638 Validation Study 6-3
Table A-1 Nomenclature A-1
Table B-1 Raw Sample Data B-1
Table B-2 Raw SRM Data B-11